



The European Handbook for SDG Voluntary Local Reviews

Examples and methods for the local monitoring of the
SDGs in European Cities

Alice Siragusa, Joint Research Centre - EC

Local work on the Sustainable Development Goals in UBC Cities

22 September 2020



Joint Research Centre Mission

As the science and knowledge service of the Commission our mission is to **support EU policies with independent evidence** throughout the whole policy cycle.

JRC sites

Headquarters in **Brussels** and research facilities located in **5 Member States:**

- Belgium (Geel)
- Germany (Karlsruhe)
- Italy (Ispra)
- The Netherlands (Petten)
- Spain (Seville)



Content of the presentation

- The **Localisation** of the SDGs
- The **Voluntary Local Reviews** as tools for localising the SDGs
- The European **Handbook** for the SDG Voluntary Local Reviews

The Localisation of the SDGs

What is the localisation the SDGs?



Defining, implementing and monitoring **strategies at the local level** for achieving global, national and subnational sustainable development goals and targets.

This involves concrete mechanisms, tools, innovations, platforms and processes to effectively **translate the development agenda into results at the local level.**

Who localises the SDGs?



Local governments



Academia and research institutions



Civil society



Private sector



Religious and community organizations

The SDG Reporting system (1/2)

Global Indicator Framework for the SDGs

- Designed for the country level
- 17 goals -- 169 measurable targets -- 231 unique indicators
- NSOs report yearly to UN custodian agency → annual global report



The SDG Reporting system (2/2)

- Every MS committed to **report periodically** on the implementation of the 2030 Agenda to High Level Political Forum
- In 2020, the **47 countries**: 26 first time presenters, 20 second time presenters and 1 third time presenter
- In 2021: Germany, Norway, and Sweden




Estonia (2020)



Finland (2020)

The Voluntary Local Reviews as tools for localising the SDGs

The first Voluntary Local Reviews



SDG Voluntary Local Reviews



Why the SDG local monitoring?

- To highlight **successes** and **gaps**
- To **inform** decisions using evidences
- To **benchmark** and **peer-to-peer** learning
- To be part of **global community**
- Highlight to role of cities in the implementation of the **European Green Deal**

Localise the SDGs using a VLR


- **Internal benefits for the city** (hidden connections, common framework, link between priority and data, sustainable networks, leave no one behind)
- **External benefits at local scale** (transparent accountability, new cross-sectoral partnerships, building leadership)
- **External benefits at global scale** (engagement in the global community, city leaders on the world stage, elevate city priorities to the global conversation).

From national to local systems - challenges





- **Adapt** the framework to the European and national context
- **Address** the local level (subnational and urban)
- **Limit** the number of indicators
- **Illustrate** local actions and achievements
- **Highlight** cities' contribution to the global agenda

Reference materials

Official guidelines




VLR examples



European Handbook for SDG Voluntary Local Reviews

Authors: Alice Siragusa, Pilar Vizcaino, Paola Proietti, Carlo Lavallo

2020




It was launched in
February 2020 at the
WUF10

Downloads:
More than 9,000 times

AVAILABLE AT
<https://urban.jrc.ec.europa.eu/sdgs>





It supports to **European cities** willing to prepare their **SDG Local Voluntary Reviews**

It offers a framework for the selection of **appropriate indicators** to tailor the reviews to local context and to ensure comparability among cities.

Content and target audience



C O N T E N T

**Overview of
methods and
INDICATORS**



T A R G E T

**European
Local and
sub-national
authorities**





The **Voluntary Local Reviews**

Navigating the **SDG monitoring systems**

Data challenges for local authorities

Main components of the VLRs

Methodology for the selection of the indicators






INDICATORS

Example of indicators for all 17 SDGs



The rationale for the selection





71

INDICATORS

TYPE OF INDICATORS

45 official

26 experimental

ALIGNMENT

6 indicators match both the EU set and the UN Global Framework

4 indicators match the UN's Global Indicator Framework

10 indicators match the EU SDGs Indicator Set 2019



71

INDICATORS

MAIN SOURCES

- 11 indicators from the JRC
- 10 indicators from Eurostat
- 3 indicators from OECD
- 3 indicators from EEA
- 2 indicators from DG REGIO

OTHER SOURCES

National Statistical Systems (NSS),
Local administrations, NGOs,
Universities

1 NO POVERTY



GOAL 1 END POVERTY IN ALL ITS FORMS EVERYWHERE



Description of the Goal

Eradicating poverty in all its forms and dimensions is recognised as the greatest challenge and an indispensable requirement for sustainable development. For instance, poverty limits people's opportunities to achieve their full potential, with consequences both in terms of social cohesion and sustainable growth. Poverty is a multidimensional concept and relates to economic, social, environmental, cultural and political aspects.

Targets of this goal focus on: eradicating extreme poverty, eventually countering the existence of poverty traps (Kraay and McKenzie 2014, Duflo and Banerjee 2011); halving poverty in all its forms; ensuring all people enjoy a basic standard of living and social protection benefits; and building the resilience of the poor, also in the face of natural disasters (Hollenhorst et al. 2017).

European Dimension

Although extreme poverty is less relevant in the EU context than in other world regions, one of the five headline targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy is to reduce poverty by lifting at least 20 million people out of the risk of poverty and social exclusion by 2020 (compared with the 2008). This includes people affected by at least one of the following forms of poverty: income poverty, low work intensity and material deprivation.

The 2020 target remains an important challenge although after the 2012 peak in poverty, there has been a continuous downward trend. For instance, in 2018 about 22% of the EU population was still at risk of poverty or social exclusion.

To tackle these challenges the Urban Agenda for the EU Partnership on Urban Poverty (EC 2018) has established four priorities of action: child poverty, deprived neighbourhoods and urban regeneration, homelessness, and vulnerability of Roma people (Urban Poverty Partnership (UPP) 2018), whereas the European pillar of social rights focuses, among other priorities, on the prevention of the misuse of precarious employment relationships.

Local dimension

Local authorities are the most appropriate actors to identify vulnerable groups, especially for what concerns hard-to-measure populations like homeless (James D. Wright 1992). For this reason, the municipal level could also be the most informed to alleviate the condition of poverty experienced by individuals, with the coordination and support of higher governance levels.

In particular, local authorities can counteract poverty acting on two typologies of constraints to the development of individuals: external constraints like institutional or governmental failures (Bardhan 1997), and internal constraints, such as behavioural and aspirational biases (Dalton, Ghosal, & Mani, 2016; Walto, 2004).

Municipalities can target both these determinants of poverty avoiding that people remain poor for much or all of their lives in which case their children also become more likely to experience poverty.



Every indicator fiche is composed by 3 main parts:

A
Side bookmark

B
Main information on the indicator and potential use and interpretation

C
Key Metadata

OVERVIEW >

26 EUROPEAN HANDBOOK FOR SDG VOLUNTARY LOCAL REVIEWS

1 NO POVERTY



Links to other SDGs

2

3

5

ALIGNMENT

UN list

EU list

COVERAGE

EU 28

AGGREGATION

CITIES AND GREATER CITIES

AVAILABILITY

100

CITIES AND GREATER CITIES

SOURCE: Eurostat, City statistics database

GOAL 1

27 EUROPEAN HANDBOOK FOR SDG VOLUNTARY LOCAL REVIEWS

PEOPLE AT RISK OF INCOME POVERTY AFTER SOCIAL TRANSFERS

Description of the indicator

This indicator is defined as the share of people at risk of adjusted disposable income below the risk-of-poverty threshold which is set at 60% of the median equivalised disposable income (after social transfers). The risk-adjusted disposable income is calculated by adding together the personal resources received by all of the household members. Its overall household level diminished by regular social and irregular inter-household cash transfer paid and by social insurance contributions. To take into account the differences in household size and structure, the possible household income must be equalised. Possible income attributed to each member of the household is calculated by dividing the total disposable income of the household by the number of household members. Equivalisation happens in several various ways. More details are available in Eurostat's indicator measures, one of the dimensions of the SDG and the headline composite indicator to measure progress with Europe 2020 Strategy together with various social work intensity and material deprivation indicators. This indicator addresses aspects of Targets 10.10 and 10.13 (social protection) of UNSDG. This indicator is the indicator proposed in the EU SDG indicator.

European context

Income poverty is the most prevalent form of poverty and it has been increasing in the last years with the risk of poverty after social transfers in EU28 at 20.1% in 2018. However, not all countries registered an increase. For example, the number of people in need of social transfers has been decreasing in Great Britain over the same period (source: Eurostat data on A5.3). Disaggregating the evidence across and within countries is full to target the areas that are experiencing the highest income poverty.

27

Meta data


Comments / Limitations

- Main metric estimates of urban inequalities should be developed by establishing the risk of poverty threshold with the better equivalised disposable income calculated at city level. It would take more into account the (possible) different ways of living with respect to the national average.
- Data should exclude both rates and absolute values. Furthermore, it is necessary to look at trends, to better understand how figures change over time.
- It would be informative to look at the number of people at risk of poverty before and after social transfers to understand the impact of social transfers in alleviating poverty.
- The income poverty indicator is a measure of income inequality in comparison to other residents of the territory, as it measures the share of people with an equivalised disposable income below the risk-of-poverty threshold which is set at 60% of the national median equivalised disposable income. Therefore, it does not necessarily imply a low standard of living.

GOAL 1



A selection of indicators from the European Handbook



ALIGNMENT

UN list

EU list

COVERAGE

EU-28

AGGREGATION

CITIES AND GREATER CITIES

AVAILABILITY

300

CITIES AND GREATER CITIES

SOURCE Eurostat, City statistics database

GOAL 3

GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

ADOLESCENT BIRTHS

Description of the indicator

The adolescent birth rate is the number of live births per woman aged 10-19.

This indicator addresses aspects of Target 3.7 of the UN SDGs (family planning).

European context

In the EU-28 the fertility rate by mother's age group, for the under 20 age group, has been continuously decreasing in the 2001-2017 period, contrarily to the fertility rate in the 30-34, 35-39 and over 40 cohort groups, that have been increasing over the same period (EUROSTAT, *Fertility statistics*).

Reducing adolescent pregnancies and adolescent birth rates is an important priority for many governments (UNDESA 2013; *United Nations 1995*) because adolescent childbearing is associated with a wide range of risks for young mothers and their newborns.

Apart from health risks, adolescent pregnancy might obstacle the socio-economic development of girls, because of the interruption of their education path, at least temporarily, a more difficult inclusion in the labour market, and possible social and political exclusion (UNDESA 2013).

Metadata

Data source: Eurostat, City Statistics Database (data collected from national statistics), table urb_cfermor, variable, SA2010V

Availability and geographical coverage: more than 300 European cities and greater cities in 2017.

Unit of measurement: Number of adolescent births. Calculating the variation over time is recommended.

Level of aggregation: Cities and greater cities

Time coverage and frequency: 2011-2018. Data is collected every year.

Comments / Limitations

- > Adolescent birth rates can decline for several reasons: a reduction in the number of sexually active adolescents, an increase in the use of contraception, or an increase in abortions (voluntary or not). This suggests that relying solely on tracking adolescent birth rates is not sufficient for a complete assessment of the issue.
- > The disaggregation of adolescent birth rates by neighbourhood might be useful for targeted policy making.

GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

GOAL 3



NON-NATIVE-SPEAKING STUDENTS GRADUATING FROM UPPER SECONDARY SCHOOLS

Links to other SDGs



ALIGNMENT

UN list
EU list

COVERAGE

HELSINKI

AGGREGATION

MUNICIPALITIES

AVAILABILITY

1

SOURCE Helsinki Region Infoshare

GOAL 4

QUALITY EDUCATION

Description of the indicator

This indicator gives the share of non-native-speaking students who graduate from upper secondary school over the total number of graduates. Since no consistent data is available Europe-wide at the local level, the case of Helsinki is illustrated here as an example.

This indicator is highly relevant for the city, where the Education Division approved the Development Plan for Immigrant Education 2018-2021.

Education is one of the main tools to promote integration and support disadvantaged groups in improving their economic situation: ad-hoc programs to improve it should be a key element of city strategies.

This indicator addresses aspects of Target 4.5 (access to education) of the UN SDGs.

European context

Young people with a migrant background - those born either outside the country or with foreign-born parents - face more difficulties in schooling than native students, as demonstrated by the Early Leavers from Education and Training Statistics (ELET).

In 2018, the share of early school leavers at EU level was twice as high for people born outside the EU than for people studying in their country of birth. Foreign-born men are the most at risk, with an ELET rate of 22.8% in 2018.

Young people from a migrant background also have a higher risk of underperforming at school.

In almost all EU Member States, the difference in the share of low achievers between first-generation immigrant students and their non-immigrant counterparts was substantial in 2015 - amounting to as much as 25 to 33 percentage points in some countries (EU-ROSTAT 2019).

Comments / Limitations

- > There are constraints regarding of comparability with other cities and the limited availability of data over time. It is recommended to collect time series of at least 10 years and compare data according to the increment of non-native-speaking students enrolled in the school system.

Metadata

Source: Helsinki Region Infoshare, local data https://hri.fi/data/en_GB/dataset/helsinki-koulutus

Availability and geographical coverage: city of Helsinki

Unit of Measurement: Share (% of total graduates)

Level of aggregation: Municipal

Time series and frequency: Available for 2017 and 2018

QUALITY EDUCATION

GOAL 4

8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH



TYPE



PERCEPTION ABOUT THE LOCAL LABOUR MARKET

Links to other SDGs



ALIGNMENT

UN list
EU list

COVERAGE

EU-28

AGGREGATION

CITIES

AVAILABILITY

83
CITIESSOURCE DG REGIO
and EUROSTAT,
Eurobarometer

GOAL 8

DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

Description of the indicator

This indicator measures the share of people with a negative perception of their city labour market. It refers to the survey question: "In this city is it easy to find a good job?" which was included in the Flash Eurobarometer, 'Quality of life in European cities' (DG REGIO 2016). Possible answers to this question are: "do not know", "strongly disagree", "somewhat disagree", "somewhat agree", "strongly agree". The indicator is calculated as the share of respondents that answered "strongly disagree" and "somewhat disagree" over the total respondents.

This indicator addresses aspects of Target 8.5 (productive employment) of the UN SDGs. It also relates to the indicators "Employment rate" and "Long-term unemployment rate" proposed in the EU SDGs indicator set.

European context

In most cities, a majority of respondents thinks that it is not easy to find a job. However, there are significant differences among cities, ranging from Praha (Czech Republic) where 72% of respondents agree that it is easy to find a job, to Palermo (Italy) where just 3% share this view.

Compared to 2012, the proportion of respondents agreeing that it is easy to find a job in their city has increased in several Irish cities and decreased in cities like Helsinki, Oslo and Lille (DG REGIO 2016).

Comments / Limitations

- > The survey was conducted in more than 79 European cities. This survey included all capital cities of the countries concerned (except for Switzerland), together with between one and six more cities in the larger countries. In each city, around 500 citizens were interviewed. The TNS Political & Social network carried out this survey in the 28 Member States of the European Union, as well as Iceland, Norway and Switzerland. In June 2015, around 41,000 respondents from different social and demographic groups were interviewed.
- > The number of surveyed cities varies over time for the Eurobarometer. The year for which the information is available for most of the cities is 2015 (more than 100 cities and greater cities).
- > The framework developed by United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) identifies 68 indicators to define employment quality from the perspective of the employed person (UNECE, 2015).
- > From the point of view of an unemployed person, a pessimistic view of the labour market lowers the perceived bargaining power and reservation wage (Cardoso, Loviglio, & Piemontese, 2016). The 'bargaining power' is the power that someone has to reach an agreement with somebody else, that is to their own advantage. The 'reservation wage' is the lowest wage at which an individual is willing to work.

DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

Metadata

Source: DG REGIO and EUROSTAT, Eurobarometer, Perception survey results (Variables: P52012V- P52016V), <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/cities/data/database>

Availability and geographical coverage: 83 cities

Unit of Measurement: Share (% of total population).

Level of aggregation: Cities.

Time coverage and frequency: 2004, 2006, 2009, 2012, 2015.

GOAL 8



ALIGNMENT

UN list

EU list

COVERAGE

EU-28 PLUS OTHER

AGGREGATION

CITIES AND GREATER CITIES

AVAILABILITY

800

CITIES AND GREATER CITIES

SOURCE **DG REGIO**

GOAL 11

SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES

POPULATION WITHOUT GREEN URBAN AREAS IN THEIR NEIGHBOURHOOD

Description of the indicator

This indicator describes the share of total population of a city who does not have green urban areas in its neighbourhood. It is calculated by analysing the surface of green urban areas within walking distance, from people and the served population. This indicator is calculated considering an area of easy walking distance considering approximately 10 minutes of walking time.

For this indicator, the most recent updated working paper (*Poelman 2018*) presents a methodology that takes into account the spatial distribution of both population and green areas throughout the cities' territory, and produces also indicators about the proximity of the green areas to the urban population. To obtain comparable results for all cities, harmonised EU-wide data sources were used, such as the complete set of Copernicus Urban Atlas land use data and census-based population figures at the highest spatial resolution available. This indicator addresses aspects of Target 11.7 (public space) of the UN SDGs.

European context

Green areas in cities, like parks, public gardens and nearby forests fulfil a variety of functions, ranging from ecological value to recreational functions. They also provide aesthetic value and they play a role in promoting public health. In general, these areas contribute to a better quality of life of the inhabitants.

Based on the available data, covering almost all cities in the EU and in the EFTA countries, substantial variation in green urban areas' proximity can be observed, both in bigger and smaller cities. There is almost no relationship between this value and the city size. Amongst the capital cities with more than 1 million inhabitants, values vary between less than 12 hectares in cities such as Lisbon, Bucharest, Athens, Dublin, Paris, Budapest and Rome, to more than 50 hectares in Prague and Stockholm. Moreover, green urban areas also need to be spatially distributed in a suitable way to fulfil relevant functions.

The differences in the share of population having no green areas in their neighbourhood shed some light on the spatial distribution of these areas. In almost a quarter of the cities under review, less than 2% of population has no green areas within walking distance. Some of the outstanding bigger cities in this group are Madrid, Vienna, Torino, Stockholm, Prague and Glasgow.

On the other hand, in about 10% of cities, this percentage is higher than 20% (e.g. in several cities in Romania and Italy (see *Poelman 2018*)).

Comments / Limitations

- > This indicator is calculated using data from 2012, but it could be updated using most recent input data.
- > For more information on official UN SDG indicator on public space "Average share of the built-up area of cities that is open space for public use for all, by sex, age and persons with disabilities", see (*UN-Habitat 2018c*).

SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES

Metadata


Source: European Commission, DG REGIO (*DG REGIO 2018*)

Availability and geographical coverage: 830 EU-28 cities and greater cities and 800 urban centres for 2018.

Unit of measurement: share

Level of aggregation: cities and greater cities, urban centres and countries.

Time coverage and frequency: 2018



ALIGNMENT

UN list

EU list

COVERAGE

EU-28 PLUS OTHER

AGGREGATION

MUNICIPALITIES

AVAILABILITY

22,000

COASTAL BEACHES AND INLAND SITES

SOURCE **European Environmental Agency**

GOAL 14

LIFE BELOW WATER

BATHING SITES WITH EXCELLENT WATER QUALITY

Description of the indicator

This indicator gives the total number of bathing sites classified as having 'excellent' water quality. Local authorities collect water samples at officially identified bathing sites (e.g. coastal, transitional, river and lake water bodies) throughout the bathing season (e.g. May - September). The samples are then analysed for two types of bacteria that indicate contamination from sewage or livestock according with EEA Methodological prescriptions. Depending on the levels of bacteria detected, the bathing water quality is classified as 'excellent', 'good', 'sufficient' or 'poor'.

This indicator matches with the indicator "Bathing water with excellent water quality" proposed in the EU SDGs indicator set.

European context

The EU "Bathing Waters Directive" (EC 2006) requires Member States to identify popular bathing places in fresh and coastal waters and monitor them for microbiological contamination (amongst other substances) throughout the bathing season.

Every year, the European Commission and the European Environment Agency (EEA) publish a summary report on the quality of bathing water, based on the information provided by the Member States. The report tracks the water quality at more than 22,000 bathing sites across the EU, Switzerland and Albania.

In this way, the public can have access to high-quality information regarding bathing water quality. Bathing water information is made available to the public through the EEA website: users can access information regarding bathing water quality for more than 22 000 coastal beaches and inland sites across Europe. Users can check bathing water quality on an interactive map, download data and individual country reports and compare the water quality over time (EEA 2015a).

Metadata

Source: European Environmental Agency - EEA <https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/bathing-water-directive-status-of-bathing-water-11/bathing-water-directive-status/excel-format-zip>

Availability and geographical coverage: 22,000 coastal beaches and inland sites across Europe. Data has to be aggregated per municipality.

Unit of Measurement: Absolute value.

Level of aggregation: single coastal sites

Time coverage and frequency: 2008 - 2018. Data is collected every year.

Comments / Limitations

- > It is recommended to consider also the share of bathing sites with excellent quality over the total number of bathing sites.
- > It is recommended to consider also the number and share of bathing sites classified with 'good', 'sufficient' or 'poor' quality and their trends over time.
- > Countries run national or local websites with detailed information on each bathing water site. These websites usually include a map search function and allow the public to monitor the water status, both in real time and for previous seasons.

LIFE BELOW WATER

GOAL 14

16
PEACE, JUSTICE
AND STRONG
INSTITUTIONS

TYPE



Links to other SDGs



ALIGNMENT

UN list
EU list

COVERAGE

GREECE

AGGREGATION

MUNICIPALITIES

AVAILABILITY

ALL
GREEK
MUNICIPALITIESSOURCE Ministry of the Interior
- Hellenic Republic

VOTER TURNOUT IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS

Description of the indicator

This indicator gives the share of people who vote in a municipal election over the total eligible population (eg. over 18 years of age, and holding citizenship). A municipal election represents a democratic moment in which people are called to contribute towards the day-to-day functioning of their community through the election of local representatives. Since there is no harmonised database on municipal voter turnout for all Member States, the case of Greece is given as an example.

This indicator addresses aspects of Target 16.7 (participatory and representative decision-making) of the UN SDGs.

European context

The European election database collects information on voting in all European countries. Beside that, the database is very informative on the political parties involved in elections and on official sources of data on European, national and local elections (usually up to maximum NUTS3 level) across countries.

Official data on voter turnout at the more detailed municipal level is available for each country from national statistical offices. Many EU countries also publish data on voter turnout at the neighbourhood scale.

Metadata

Comments / Limitations

- > Disaggregated data on voter turnout can be used to monitor the participation of specific minority groups.
- > Voter turnout is often used as a proxy for democratic legitimacy. Considerable variations detected in voter turnout may reflect, to some degree, the trust people have in the political system (Hooghe 2018).
- > Voter turnout is also a proxy of social capital: the higher the voter turnout, the higher the social capital. This is generally regarded as a positive element in civic involvement (Akcomak and ter Weel 2012; Boschma 2005).

Source: Ministry of the Interior - Hellenic Republic at <https://www.ypes.gr/ekloges/ethnikes-ekloges/apotelesmata-ethnikon-eklogon>

Availability and geographical coverage: all Greek municipalities

Unit of Measurement: Share

Level of aggregation: Municipal level (LAU)

Time coverage and frequency: 1996-2019. Data collected for every election

Part 3

STATE OF ART AND WAYS FORWARD



3

Local governments measuring the SDGs

How to **integrate** the SDG **monitoring** and the **strategic plans**

Some considerations on VLR **methods** and **comparability**

Ways forward



Ongoing activities

- **Work with cities** (Trainings, peer-to-peer learning)
- Organise/participate **expert group meetings and trainings**
- **Cooperate with external partners** (CEMR, UCLG, UNDESA, UNDP, OECD)



2nd European Handbook for SDG Voluntary Local Reviews (2022 edition)





Local statistical capacities to collect data, monitor, evaluate, and engage in national SDG-related data efforts are critical elements of the successful implementation of the SDGs

Oosterhof, 2018

References 1/2

- City of Los Angeles. (2019). *Los Angeles Sustainable Development Goals. A voluntary local Review in 2019*. https://sdg.lamayor.org/sites/g/files/wph1131/f/LA%27s_Voluntary_Local_Review_of_SDGs_2019.pdf
- Estado de Oaxaca. (2019). *Revisión Estatal Voluntaria*. <http://www.agenda2030.oaxaca.gob.mx/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/REV-VERSION-ESPAÑOL-FINAL-26-junio.pdf>
- Euskadi Basque Country. (2017). *I Agenda Euskadi Basque Country 2030 Monitoring Report 2017*. <https://www.euskadi.eus/2030-agenda/monitoring-2017>
- Fox, S., & Macleod, A. (2019). *Bristol and the SDGs a Voluntary Local Review of Progress 2019*. https://research-information.bris.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/207892282/BRISTOL_AND_THE_SDGs_A4_report_July_2019_FINAL.pdf
- Fox, S., & Macleod, A. (2019). *Voluntary Local Reviews: a handbook for UK cities*. 24. <https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/cabot-institute-2018/documents/uk-cities-voluntary-local-review-handbook.pdf>
- Helsinki. (2019). *From Agenda to Action. The Implementation of the UN Sustainable Development Goals in Helsinki 2019*. City of Helsinki, City Executive Office. <https://www.hel.fi/static/helsinki/julkaisut/SDG-VLR-Helsinki-2019-en.pdf>
- The City of New York. (2018). *Voluntary Local Review: New York City's Implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. In *Voluntary Local Review: New York City's Implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/international/downloads/pdf/NYC_VLR_2018_FINAL.pdf

References 2/2

- Prime Minister's Office. (2020). *Voluntary National Review 2020 FINLAND Report on the Implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*.
https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/26261VNR_Report_Finland_2020.pdf
- Republic of Estonia. (2020). *Voluntary National Review: Review on the Implementation of the 2030 Agenda in Estonia*.
https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/26284VNR_2020_Estonia_Report.pdf
- Sánchez de Madariaga, I., García López, J., & Sisto, R. (2018). *Los Objetivos de Desarrollo Sostenible en 100 ciudades españolas*. Red Española para el Desarrollo Sostenible (REDS).
www.smartandcity.com
- Siragusa, A., Vizcaino, P., Proietti, P., & Lavallo, C. (2020). *European Handbook for SDG Voluntary Local Reviews*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2760/670387>
- Sustainable Development Solutions Network. (2016). *Getting Started with the SDGs in Cities: A Guide for Stakeholders*. <http://unsdsn.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/9.1.8.-Cities-SDG-Guide.pdf>
- UCLG Community of Practice on VLRs, & UN-Habitat. (2020). *Guidelines for Voluntary Local Reviews*.
https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/2020/07/uclg_vrlab_guidelines_july_2020_final.pdf
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2020). *Handbook for the preparation of voluntary national reviews. The 2020 edition*.
https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/25245Handbook_2020_EN.pdf
- United Nations. (2020). *The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2020*.
<https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2020/The-Sustainable-Development-Goals-Report-2020.pdf>

Keep in touch



EU Science Hub: ec.europa.eu/jrc



@EU_ScienceHub



EU Science Hub – Joint Research Centre



EU Science, Research and Innovation



Eu Science Hub

Thank you

alice.siragusa@ec.europa.eu



© European Union 2020

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.